

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,644	03/29/2004	Jean-Louis H. Gueret	08048.0023-01	9577
22852 .7	7590 03/17/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			WALCZAK, DAVID J	
LLP 901 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT_	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20001-4413	. •	3751	
				_

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summer:	10/810,644	GUERET, JEAN-LOUIS H.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	David J. Walczak	3751		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will be supplied to the period for rep	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	March 2004.			
•	nis action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application	on.			
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		·		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner, Note the attached Or	nce Action or form P10-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:		9(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		antian Na		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	• •			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	eiveu in this ivational Stage		
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	•	eived		
See the attached detailed Office action for a fi	or or the contined copies flot lect	ATGM.		

Attac	hment(s)
	Notice of

1) 🔲	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

2) Thouse of braisperson's Patent Brawing Neview (F10-940)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date

r aper No(3)/Mail Date
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Species I: Figure 1,

Species II: Figure 2,

Species III: Figure 3,

Species IV: Figure 4,

Species V: Figure 5 and

Species VI: Figure 6.

The species are independent or distinct because the various embodiments are separately claimed (see, for example, claims 21-25).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is considered to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an

allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Walczak whose telephone number is 571-272-4895. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 6:30- 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,644 Page 4

Art Unit: 3751

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 571-272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Walczak Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

DJW 3/14/06